\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Question \& Expected Answers \& Marks \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|lll}
\hline 1 \& \text { (a) } \& \text { (i) } \\
\& \& \text { (ii) } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& rate at start (of reaction)/ \(t=0 \checkmark\)
\[
0.048\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \checkmark
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
[1] \\
[1]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
(b) \\
(i) \\
(ii) \\
(iii)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{aq}):\) \\
Exp 2 has twice \(\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{aq})\right]\) as Exp 1 and rate \(\times 2 \checkmark\), so order = 1 with respect to \(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\) \\
\(\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})\) : \\
Exp 3 has \(1.5 \times[\mathrm{HCl}]\) as Exp 1 and rate increases by \(1.5 \checkmark\), so order \(=1\) with respect to \(\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \checkmark\) \\
ORDER HAS TO BE CORRECT TO GET REASON MARK \\
2/second order \(\checkmark\) \\
This will be dependent on answer to (i)
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { rate }= k\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right][\mathrm{HCl}] \checkmark \checkmark \\
\& \text { OR } \\
\& \text { rate }= 2.4\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right][\mathrm{HCl}] \checkmark \checkmark \\
\& \\
\& \text { rate }=k\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] \\
\& \text { rate }=\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right][\mathrm{HCl}] \\
\&\text { scores } 1 \text { mark }) \\
\& k\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right][\mathrm{HCl}] \\
\& k=\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right][\mathrm{HCl}] \text { scores } 1 \text { mark) } \\
\& \text { Chark) } \\
\& \text { Check for ect from (i) } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \& [4]
[1]

$[2]$ \\
\hline (c) \& increases $\checkmark$ \& [1] \\

\hline | (d) |
| :--- |
| (i) |
| (ii) | \& | time for concentration (of a reactant) to fall to half the original value |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}: 0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \checkmark$ |
| In one half life, $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right]$, concentration halves $0.1 / 2 \checkmark$ |
| $\mathrm{HCl}: 0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \checkmark$ |
| Assume $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ unless told otherwise |
| Assume 'mol dm ${ }^{3}$ means $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ but |
| Penalise wrong unit once only | \& | [1] |
| :--- |
| [3] | \\

\hline \& \& Total: 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Question | Expected Answers | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2$ <br> (a) <br> (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) | $K_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$, award 1 mark if upside down $K_{p}$ expression worth 1 mark <br> Equil $\longrightarrow$ left because $K_{c}$ is very small $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right] \times K_{c}}=\frac{\left(4.0 \times 10^{-16}\right)^{2}}{1.1 \times 4.8 \times 10^{-31}}$ <br> $=0.30 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \checkmark$ (calculator: 0.303030303 ) answer given to 2 sig figs $\checkmark$ <br> $3.3 \checkmark \checkmark$ (upside down) <br> calc: 3.3 <br> $7.6 \times 10^{14} \checkmark \checkmark$ (missing out ${ }^{2}$ ) calc: $7.5757 \ldots .$. <br> $0.37 \checkmark \checkmark$ (1.1 on top) calc: 0.366666 .. <br> $5.2 \times 10^{-46} \checkmark \checkmark$ ('4' values swapped) calc: $5.236363 . \times 10^{-46}$ | [2] <br> [1] <br> [3] |
| (b) <br> (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) | $\Delta H$ is +ve $\checkmark$ <br> equilibrium moves to the right to compensate for increase in temperature/to lower the temperature / to minimise the change <br> increase in proportion of NO $\checkmark$ because $K_{c}$ increases Can be linked to either increased proportion of NO or enthalpy change $\checkmark$ $2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2} \checkmark \checkmark$ <br> species correct for 1st mark <br> 'simplest' balanced equation for 2nd mark <br> $\mathrm{NO}+{ }_{1} \mathrm{I}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ also gets both marks <br> $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is fine <br> $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ for 1st mark | [4] [2] |


| (c) | Optimum Pressure <br> low pressure $\checkmark$ <br> fewer gaseous moles on left $\checkmark$ <br> Optimum Temperature <br> optimum: low temperature $\checkmark$ <br> forward reaction is exothermic $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Reason mark can only be awarded if the condition mark is <br> correct. <br> Condition mark is independent <br> $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ used to increase rate with more energetic collisions <br> OR so that a greater proportion of molecules exceed <br> activation energy $\checkmark$ <br> 10 atm used to increase rate by increasing concentration OR <br> increasing collisons $\checkmark$ <br> Catalyst used to increase rate by lowering the activation <br> energy/providing a lower energy route $\checkmark$ <br> NOT increase equilibrium yield | [7] |
| Quality of written communication: <br> Recognition of a compromise between rate and equilibrium <br> amount $\checkmark$ | [1] |


| Question | Expected Answers | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3$ | $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})\right] \checkmark$ state symbols not needed <br> HBr is stronger than $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ because pH is lower $\checkmark$ HBr dissociates more/more $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions....... for the same concentration <br> diluting by a factor of 10/ 10-fold dilution $\mathrm{pH}=3 \checkmark$ <br> Credit a calculated pH for ecf from a wrong dilution with working shown | [1] <br> [2] <br> [2] |
| (b) (i) <br> (ii) | $K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})\right] \checkmark$ state symbols not needed $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})=\frac{K_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{0.0200}=5 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \checkmark\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(5 \times 10^{-13}\right)=12.30 \checkmark$ <br> (accept calc value: 12.30103) <br> ecf is possible for pH mark providing that the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ value has been derived from $K_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{\prime}\right]$ <br> If pOH method is used, $\mathrm{pOH}=1.7$ would get 1 st mark, $\mathrm{pH}=14-1.7=12.3$ gets 2 nd mark. | [1] [2] |
| (c) (i) <br> (ii) | start at $\mathrm{pH}=3.4$ (approx half way up $0-7$ rise) $\checkmark$ <br> sharp rise at $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ (must have a vertical part) <br> finish higher above pH 7 than starting pH <br> $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. with line continued to $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ $\qquad$ but finish pH is less than $14 \checkmark$ <br> NOTE that lines should not loop <br> Indicator that has a pH range coinciding with steepest part of titration curve in (i). <br> Likely to be thymol blue OR brilliant yellow $\checkmark$ <br> pH range coincides with $\qquad$ pH change during sharp rise lequivalence point | [3] |
|  |  | Total: 13 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Question \& Expected Answers \& Marks \\
\hline 4 (a) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{O}=43.7 / 31: 56.3 / 16 / 1.41: 3.52 \\
\& \text { Ratio } \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{O}=2: 5 / \text { Empirical formula }=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \\
\& \text { Molecular formula }=\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\left(\text { from } M_{\mathrm{r}} \text { value }\right) \\
\& \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \checkmark
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Equations:
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{P}_{4}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \checkmark \\
\text { (or } \mathrm{P}_{4}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \text { ) } \\
\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \longrightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \checkmark \\
\left(\text { or } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right. \text { ) } \\
\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}+3 \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
A candidate who writes an equation forming \(\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\) or \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\) can score the equation mark for oxidation of \(\mathrm{P}_{4}\).
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
[3] \\
[1] \\
[3]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
(b) \\
(i) \\
(ii)
\end{tabular} \& ```
\(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}>\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\)
Increased strengths with increasing \(K_{\mathrm{a}}\) values \(\checkmark\)
Molar mass of \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}=142 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\)
amount of \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}=4.26 / 142=0.03 \mathrm{~mol}\)
e.c.f. mass/molar mass
volume of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\) needed \(=0.03 \times 1000 / 0.5=60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \checkmark\)
e.c.f. moles \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times 1000 / 0.5\)
amount of \(\mathrm{NaOH}=2 \times 0.03=0.06 \mathrm{~mol}\)
e.c.f. \(2 \times\) moles \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\)
volume of NaOH needed \(=0.06 \times 1000 / 0.5=120 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \checkmark\)
e.c.f. moles \(\mathrm{NaOH} \times 1000 / 0.5\)
```
Penalise units once. \& [1]

[5] \\
\hline \& \& Total: 13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

